

The da vinci code and the MAHDI

Post Reply Post Reply Page

1

12>

Author Message Topic Rating Rate Topic Topic Search Topic Search Topic Options
Topic Options

Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To
This Post Topic: The da vinci code and the MAHDI

Posted: 07 September 2008 at 11:09pm

The da vinci code and Imam MAHDI

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H6kF2Ar2TFg>

This video reveals something that has remained unknown to Muslims and non-Muslims to this day and that is that the Da vinci code has been prophecised by Prophet Mohammed (pbuh).

When the Mahdi appears Satan would say "the right path is with the descendant of Jesus".

Back to Top

Sponsored Links

[Back to Top](#)

[minuteman View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Joined: 25 March 2007

Status: Offline

Points: 1642 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

[Thanks \(0\)](#) [Thanks\(0\)](#) [Quote minuteman](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[ReplyDirect](#) [Link To This Post](#) [Posted: 08 September 2008 at 7:24am](#)

S_K: This video reveals something that has remained unknown to Muslims and non-Muslims to this day and that is that the Da vinci code has been prophecised by Prophet Mohammad (pbuh).

When the Mahdi appears Satan would say "the right path is with the descendant of Jesus".

The question is "Has the Mahdi appeared?" We cannot understand the videos easily. The sound is not clear. Please guide.

It is difficult to link Da Vinci Code with the prophet s.a.w.s.

Edited by minuteman - 08 September 2008 at 7:27am

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 08 September 2008 at 7:38am

The sound works fine on my PC.

The Prophet (saw) said that Satan will say "the descendant of Jesus has appeared".

This was at a time when no one believed that Jesus has descendants. Today with books like the Da Vinci Code this belief has become popular, so now it would make sense for Satan to tell people to obey a supposed descendant of Jesus because the belief now exists that the Merovingians are descendants of Jesus.

In the past people could not understand this Hadith, but now we can.

By the way they are not really his descendants as explained on the video

Back to Top

Nazarene View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 05 September 2008

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 298 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Nazarene Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post
Posted: 11 September 2008 at 7:37pm

not true the following is from "the gospel of phillip" take it as you wish.

There were three who always walked with the Lord: Mary, his mother, and her sister, and Magdalene, the one who was called his companion. His sister and his mother and his companion were each a Mary

As for the Wisdom who is called "the barren," she is the mother of the angels. And the companion of the savior is Mary Magdalene. The Lord loved her more than all the disciples, and used to kiss her often on her lips. The rest of the disciples saw him lovivg Mary they said to him "Why do you love her more than all of us?" The Savior answered and said to them, "Why do I not love you like her? When a blind man and one who sees are both together in darkness, they are no different from one another. When the light comes, then he who sees will see the light, and he who is blind will remain in darkness."

For it is by a kiss that the perfect conceive and give birth. For this reason we also kiss one another. We receive conception from the grace which is in one another

No one can know when the husband and the wife have intercourse with one another, except the two of them. Indeed, marriage in the world is a mystery for those who have taken a wife. If there is a hidden quality to the marriage of defilement, how much more is the undefiled marriage a true mystery! It is not fleshly, but pure. It belongs not to desire, but to the will. It belongs not to the darkness or the night, but to the day and the light. If a marriage is open to the public, it has become prostitution, and the bride plays the harlot not only when she is impregnated by another man, but even if she slips out of her bedroom and is seen. Let her show herself only to her father and her mother, and to the friend of the bridegroom and the sons of the bridegroom. These are permitted to enter every day into the bridal chamber. But let the others yearn just to listen to her voice and to enjoy her ointment, and let them feed from the crumbs that fall from the table, like the dogs. Bridegrooms and brides belong to the bridal chamber. No one shall be able to see the bridegroom with the bride unless he become such a one

There is the Son of Man and there is the son of the Son of Man. The Lord is the Son of Man, and the son of the Son of Man is he who creates through the Son of Man. The Son of Man received from God the capacity to create. He also has the ability to beget. He who has received the ability to create is a creature. He who has received the ability to beget is an offspring. He who creates cannot beget. He who begets also has power to create. Now they say, "He who creates begets". But his so-called "offspring" is merely a creature. Because of [...] of birth, they are not his offspring but [...]. He who creates works openly, and he himself is visible. He who begets, begets in private, and he himself is hidden, since [...] image. Also, he who creates, creates openly. But one who begets, begets children in private

Mary is the virgin whom no power defiled. She is a great anathema to the Hebrews, who are the apostles and the apostolic men. This virgin whom no power defiled rests in silence while the powers defile themselves.

Edited by Nazarene - 11 September 2008 at 8:13pm

love for all conquers all

[Back to Top](#)

[Nazarene View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 05 September 2008

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 298

[Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) [Thanks\(0\)](#) [Quote Nazarene](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[ReplyDirect](#) [Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 11 September 2008 at 7:41pm

from the holy twelve

lection LII

9. Again I say unto you, I and My Bride are one, even as Maria Magdalena, whom I have chosen and sanctified unto Myself as a type, is one with Me; I and My Church are One. And the Church is the elect of humanity for the salvation of all.

lection VI

13. AND in the eighteenth year of his age, Yeshua was espoused unto Miriam, a virgin of the tribe of Judah with whom he lived seven years, and she died, for God took her, that he might go on to the higher things which he had to do, and to suffer for the sons and daughters of men.

also satan is the great deciver. and the greatest deception is the truth.

love Ieland

Edited by Nazarene - 11 September 2008 at 8:03pm

love for all conquers all

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

[Thanks \(0\)](#) [Thanks\(0\)](#) [Quote Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[ReplyDirect](#) [Link To This Post](#) Posted: 12 September 2008 at 6:44pm

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

But one who begets, begets children in private

I guess the part you underlined is supposed to be the proof, but it says "one who begets begets children in private".

It doesnt say that Jesus said: "If I beget, I will do so in private", he is talking about people not himself.

Here's from Wikipedia about the Gospel of Philip:

The text is perhaps most famous as a very early source for the idea that Jesus was married to Mary Magdalene. Though this is never explicitly stated in the document itself, she is described as Jesus' "lover" in some translations.

....

It is dismissed by Ian Wilson (Jesus: The Evidence, 2000 p.88) who argues that it "has no special claim to an early date, and seems to be merely a Mills and Boon-style fantasy of a type not uncommon among Christian apocryphal literature of the third and fourth centuries."

Edited by Sawtul Khilafah - 12 September 2008 at 6:44pm

[Back to Top](#)

[Nazarene View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 05 September 2008

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 298 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Nazarene Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post
Posted: 12 September 2008 at 8:04pm

the section with the underlined sentence states also that (THE SON OF MAN (JESUS) is the one who begets. read it closer.

it dates from 125-150. by the followers of Valentinus. Irenaeus wrote of it as heresy around 180. he's the one who coined the term Catholic Orthodox (universal strait thinking). so you know where he stood on things. although he liked to use the gospel of barnabas to support his views till he didn't need that book any more.

sounds like Ian Willson to me. you must not look to so called christain views on these books. the christain church would fall if the truth were known.

Islam calls on people to think. so think on this.

if you were leading a group who were hated and persecuted would you not keep your family a secret?? don't you think they would be the next targets?? as now lets say it was all true. isn't it safe to say that there are those who would hunt them down even today??

well by the way the n/t states that the disciples all had children (acts 21:5 and phillip had four daughters (who prophesied 21: 8-9.) and paul says all the apostles had children.and don't forget peter, and his son mark.(these will be the 144,000). but thats another post.

jesus taught though example. they followed his lead. read it all for yourself. it also speaks of the failings of the emerging catholic church. and how they twisted who jesus was.

and also as you can see there are other writings that support the idea of jesus being wed to mary. not just phillip. and there's more.much more.

<http://gospelofthomas.nazirene.org/philip.htm>

Edited by Nazarene - 12 September 2008 at 9:01pm

love for all conquers all

[Back to Top](#)

Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 13 September 2008 at 4:37pm

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

the section with the underlined sentence states also that (THE SON OF MAN (JESUS) is the one who begets. read it closer.

it dates from 125-150. by the followers of Valentinus. Irenious wrote of it as haresy around 180. he's the one who coined the term Catholic Orthodox (universal strait thinking). so you know where he stood on things. although he liked to use the gospel of barnabass to suport his veiws till he didn't need that book any more.

sounds like Ian Willson to me. you must not look to so called christain views on these books. the christain church would fall if the truth were known.

Islam calls on people to think. so think on this.

if you were leading a group who were hated and persecuted would you not keep your family a secret?? don't you think they would be the next targets?? as now lets say it was all true. is'nt it safe to say that there are those who would hunt them down even today??

well by the way the n/t states that the disciples all had children (acts 21:5 and phillip had four daughters (who prophesied 21: 8-9.) and paul says all the apostles had children.and don't forget peter, and his son mark.(these will be the 144,000). but thats another post.

jesus taught though example. they followed his lead. read it all for yourself. it also speaks of the failings of the emerging catholic church. and how they twisted who jesus was.

and also as you can see there are other writings that support the idea of jesus being wed to mary. not just phillip. and there's more.much more.

<http://gospelofthomas.nazirene.org/philip.htm>

It says:

and the son of the Son of Man is he who creates through the Son of Man.

In other words, one who creates through the "son of man" (Jesus) is the son of the son of man (son of Jesus).

This is clearly metaphorical, it is saying that if you want to be the son of Jesus you must do so and so, not that Jesus had any actual children.

It is like when the Bible refers to the Jews as the children of God and Israel as the bride of God.

The gnostic gospels in particular are very much metaphorical, more so than the books in the Bible. They talk about women (Mary magdalene in particular) becoming men, obviously not literally. If these early Gnostic sects believed that Jesus had children why is his child never explicitly mentioned in any of their own texts? I mean if even they did not mention his child or children, then what evidence remains?

No doubt they claimed that Jesus and Mary Magdalene were very close, but that does not mean they had children, not to mention descendants, even if we were to believe the Gnostic gospels.